

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 10-80309-CIV-Marra/Johnson

JANE DOE No. 103,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFERY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

---

**DEFENDANT EPSTEIN'S MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE  
LIMITATION ON MOTION TO DISMISS, & FOR MORE  
DEFINITE STATEMENT & STRIKE DIRECTED TO PLAINTIFF  
JANE DOE NO. 103'S COMPLAINT [dated 2/23/2010]**

Defendant, JEFFREY EPSTEIN, by and through his undersigned counsel, moves to exceed the page limitation of 20 pages imposed by Loc. Gen. Rule 7.1. C. 2. (S.D. Fla.), in his response to *Plaintiff JANE DOE NO. 103's Complaint*, dated February 23, 2010. In support of his motion, Defendant states:

1. Local Gen. Rule 7.1 C. 2. provides in part that absent prior permission of the court, no party shall file any legal memorandum exceeding twenty pages in length. Defendant is in the process of preparing his response to Plaintiff's Complaint, (presently due on March 26, 2010, but Plaintiff has agreed to an extension until April 5, 2010), and the response will exceed the 20 page limitation. It is clear, based on the issues raised in Plaintiff's Complaint that in excess of 20 pages is required to fully and adequately respond to and discuss the issues raised. Defendant anticipates needing to exceed the page limitation for his legal memorandum by 6 to 8 pages.

2. A length exceeding 20 pages is required so that Defendant may fully address the issues raised in Plaintiff's Complaint which attempts to assert six counts pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §2255. Under the constitutional guarantees of due process, including a fair and full opportunity to be heard, and in the interests of justice so that the Court may render a fully informed decision on the issue, Defendant is entitled to an order granting his motion to exceed the 20 page limitation in his response.

3. As certified below herein, Plaintiff's counsel agreed to the request to exceed 20 pages.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant Defendant's motion, and enter an order allowing a response in excess of 20 pages.

**Local Rule 7.1 Statement**

Counsel for the movant conferred with Counsel for the Plaintiff and Counsel for Plaintiff is in agreement with the requested filing in excess of twenty pages in Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

/s/ Michael J. Pike  
Robert D. Critton, Attorney for  
Defendant Epstein

**Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record identified on the following Service List in the manner specified by CM/ECF on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2010.

Robert C. Josefsberg, Esq.  
Katherine W. Ezell, Esq.  
Podhurst Orseck, P.A.  
25 West Flagler Street, Suite 800

Jack Alan Goldberger, Esq.  
Atterbury Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.  
250 Australian Avenue South  
Suite 1400

Miami, FL 33130  
305 358-2800  
Fax: 305 358-2382  
rjosefsberg@podhurst.com  
kezell@podhurst.com  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*

West Palm Beach, FL 33401-5012  
561-659-8300  
Fax: 561-835-8691  
jagesq@bellsouth.net  
*Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein*

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Michael J. Pike  
ROBERT D. CRITTON, JR., ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 224162  
rcrit@bclclaw.com  
MICHAEL J. PIKE, ESQ.  
Florida Bar #617296  
mpike@bclclaw.com  
BURMAN, CRITTON, LUTTIER &  
COLEMAN  
303 Banyan Blvd., Suite 400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
561/842-2820 Phone  
561/515-3148 Fax  
*(Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein)*