

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE  
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND  
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 502009CA040800XXXXMBAG

FILED  
2010 MAY 12 PM 3:21

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SCOTT ROTHSTEIN, individually,  
BRADLEY J. EDWARDS, individually,  
and L.M., individually,

Defendant.

---

**DEFENDANT BRADLEY J. EDWARDS RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST  
FOR PRODUCTION DATED APRIL 12, 2010**

Defendant, BRADLEY J. EDWARDS, hereby files his Response to Request for Production propounded by Plaintiff on April 12, 2010 as follows:

1. None.
2.
  - a. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
  - b. None.
3. Objection as to communications to or from investigators as that is protected by the work-product and /or attorney-client privilege.
4. Objection; any such communications are protected by the work-product and /or attorney-client privilege.
5. None.
6. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; vague; overbroad, without waiving objection, there are no fee agreements with any investor.

7. None.
8. None.
9. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
10. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
11. None.
12. None.
13. None.
14. None.
15. None.
16. None.
17. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; vague; overbroad, ambiguous.
18. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
19. Objection, relevance, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and protected by the work-product privilege.
20. Objection, vague overbroad and any and all such documents are protected by the work-product privilege.
21. Objection, vague overbroad and any and all such documents are protected by the work-product and attorney-client privilege.
22. Not yet determined.

23. Objection.
24. Objection; attorney-client privilege and/or work-product privilege.
25. None in Defendant's possession.
26. None.
27. None in Defendant's possession.

NOT A CERTIFIED COPY

Case No.: 502009CA040800XXXXMBAG  
Edwards' Response to Request for Production dated 4/12/10

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been  
<sup>1 FAX AND</sup> furnished via U.S. Mail to all counsel on the attached list on April MAY 11<sup>th</sup>, 2010.

Jack Scarola  
Searcy Denney Scarola Barnhart & Shipley, P.A.  
Attorneys for Defendant, Bradley Edwards  
2139 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33409  
Phone: (561) 686-6300  
Fax: (561) 383-9451

By: Jack Scarola  
Jack Scarola  
Florida Bar No.: 169440

**COUNSEL LIST**

Robert D. Critton, Jr., Esquire  
Michael J. Pike, Esquire  
Burman, Critton, Luttier & Coleman LLP  
303 Banyan Boulevard, Suite 400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
Phone: (561)-842-2820  
Fax: (561)-844-6929  
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Jack Goldberger  
Atterbury, Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.  
250 Australian Avenue South  
Suite 1400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
Phone: (561)-659-8300  
Fax: (561)-835-8691  
Attorneys for Jeffrey Epstein

Gary M. Farmer, Jr.  
Farmer, Jaffe, Weissing, Edwards,  
Fistos & Lehrman, P.L.  
425 N. Andrews Avenue, Suite 2  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
Phone: (954) 524-2820  
Fax: (954) 524-2822  
Attorneys for L.M.

Marc Nurik  
Law Offices of Marc S. Nurik  
One East Broward Boulevard, Suite 700  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
Phone: 954-745-5849  
Fax: 954-745-3556  
Counsel for Scott Rothstein