



Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
Laura A. Menninger

150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
PH 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
www.hmflaw.com
LMenninger@hmflaw.com

December 1, 2020

Honorable Loretta A. Preska
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007

Counsel's requests to seal and
to extend the page limit are
GRANTED. SO ORDERED.

Loretta A. Preska 12/2/2020

Re: Motion to File Under Seal and Request for Additional 3/4 Page of Briefing
Giuffre v. Ghislaine Maxwell, No. 15 Civ. 7433 (LAP)

Dear Judge Preska:

This is a letter motion seeking leave to permit Ms. Maxwell to file a redacted Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of Objections to Unsealing Sealed Materials Related to DEs 231, 279, 315, 320 & 335 and to file under seal Exhibit E thereto.

The Protective Order governing this case states:

Whenever a party seeks to file any document or material containing CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION with the Court in this matter, it shall be accompanied by a Motion to Seal pursuant to Section 6.2 of the Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions for the Southern District of New York.

Doc. # 62 at 4. The Memorandum of Law and Exhibit E contain information deemed CONFIDENTIAL by the parties pursuant to the Protective Order. References to and discussion of specific CONFIDENTIAL materials are necessary to support Ms. Maxwell's request that these materials remain under seal.

Ms. Maxwell intends to file the redacted version of the Memorandum publicly on ECF and seeks leave only to file the unredacted version and Exhibit E under seal.

Ms. Maxwell additionally requests, pursuant to paragraph 2(g) of the Protocol (DE 1108) that she be permitted an additional 3/4 of a page for her Reply. The Protocol permits applications for an extension of the page limits of any memorandum on an *ad hoc* basis. In her Reply, Ms. Maxwell needed to address a significant number of legal and factual issues raised by plaintiff in her Objections, including clarifying the record regarding Non-Party Notices, the effect of the various appeals (*Brown* and round one of unsealing) on this Court's analysis in this round two, and the impact of any unsealing on Ms. Maxwell's pending criminal trial. Ms. Maxwell also needed to address plaintiff's request to keep certain items under seal raised for the first

Honorable Loretta A. Preska
December 1, 2020
Page 2

time in her Response and her accompanying exhibit. In order to fully address the merits of these facts and arguments, counsel was unable to confine her Reply to 10 pages but rather was able to conclude in 10 $\frac{3}{4}$ pages. She respectfully requests that the Court indulge the additional $\frac{3}{4}$ page in this instance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Laura A. Menninger".

Laura A. Menninger

CC: Counsel of Record *via* ECF