

Doc

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80381-MARRA-JOHNSON

JANE DOE NO. 5,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

_____ /

**PLAINTIFF JANE DOE NO. 5's ANSWERS TO
DEFENDANT'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES**

Plaintiff, JANE DOE NO. 5, by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 33, hereby responds to Defendant, JEFFREY EPSTEIN'S First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff as follows:

General Objections

1. Plaintiff objects to Defendant's Interrogatories to the extent that the Interrogatories call for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, or other applicable privilege or immunity, whether created by statute or common law. Plaintiff claims such privileges and protections to the extent implicated by each Interrogatory, and excludes privileged and protected information from any responses to Defendant's discovery. Any disclosure is inadvertent and is not intended to waive those privileges or protections, which are specifically reserved.

2. Plaintiff objects to Defendant's Interrogatories to the extent that same are vague, ambiguous, incomprehensible and/or overly broad.

"A"

cc: NE, NA, DI

Doe No. 5 v. Epstein
Page 6


Psychologist who treated Plaintiff in approximately June 2007.

Discovery is ongoing and may be supplemented in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6. Please state the specific nature and substance of the knowledge that you believe the person(s) identified in your response to interrogatory no. 5 may have.

Answer:

See response to Interrogatory No. 5.

7. Were you suffering from physical infirmity, disability, disease, sickness, or psychiatric/psychological condition at the time of the incident(s) described in the complaint? If so, what was the nature of the infirmity, disability, or sickness?

Answer:

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory as vague and overly broad. Subject to said objections, Plaintiff states:

No.

8. Did you consume any alcoholic beverages or take any drugs or medications within 12 hours before the time of each incident(s) described in the complaint? If so, state the type and amount of alcoholic beverages, drugs, or medication which were consumed, and when and where you consumed them.

Answer:

No.

9. Describe each injury (physical, emotional, mental) for which you are claiming damages in this case, specifying the part of your body that was injured, the nature of the injury, and as to any injuries you contend are permanent, the effects on you that you claim are permanent.

Answer:

Doe No. 5 v. Epstein
Page 7

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory as vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome, premature, and calling for an expert opinion. Subject to said objections, Plaintiff states:

Plaintiff has sustained mental and emotional injuries, including, but not limited to, depressive episodes, anger, low self-esteem, flashbacks, mood swings, lack of trust generally, and lack of trust of men (particularly of older men around Plaintiff's daughter).

Discovery is ongoing and will be supplemented in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In particular, Plaintiff's psychological and emotional injuries will be the subject of expert review, analysis and testimony.

10. Please state each item of damage that you claim, and include in your answer: the count to which the item of damages relates; the factual basis for each item of damages; and an explanation of how you computed each item of damages, including any mathematical formula used.

Answer:

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory as vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome, premature, and calling for an expert opinion. Subject to said objections, Plaintiff states:

Discovery is ongoing and will be supplemented in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

11. List the names and business addresses of each physician (including psychiatrist, psychologist, etc.) or medical provider (including chiropractors) who has treated or examined you, and each medical facility where you have received any treatment or examination for the injuries for which you seek damages in this case; and state as to each the date of treatment or examination and the injury or condition for which you were examined or treated.

Answer:

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory as vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome, premature, and calling for an expert opinion. Subject to said objections, Plaintiff states:

A large black rectangular redaction mark covering several lines of text.

Doe No. 5 v. Epstein
Page 13

Answer:

Plaintiff's only contact with the FBI was by phone, so she is unaware if anyone was recording the interview or taking notes.

25. Please describe any statements made to you by any federal or state law enforcement agent or prosecutor regarding the availability of civil remedies against Mr. Epstein and regarding whether there would be any benefit from your voluntary cooperation with law enforcement.

Answer:


No such statements were made.

January 26, 2009

Respectfully submitted:

HERMAN & MERMELSTEIN P.A.
18205 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2218
Miami, Florida 33160
www.hermanlaw.com
Tel: 305-931-2200
Fax: 305-931-0877

By: _____


Jeffrey M. Herman
jherman@hermanlaw.com
Florida Bar No. 521647
Stuart S. Mermelstein
smermelstein@hermanlaw.com
Florida Bar No. 947245
Adam D. Horowitz
ahorowitz@hermanlaw.com
Florida Bar No. 376980

VERIFICATION

[REDACTED] being duly sworn, deposes and says that the foregoing answers to interrogatories are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

[REDACTED]

Virginia
STATE OF FLORIDA


Norfolk) ss
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this 19 day of January 2009 by [REDACTED] who is personally known to me or has produced the following identification [REDACTED] which is current or has been issued within the past five years and bears a serial or other identifying number.

PURNIMA PATEL
Print Name

[Signature]
Signature

NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF FLORIDA
Commission Number:
My commission expires:
(Notarial Seal)



Doe No. 5 v. Epstein
Page 15


Certificate of Service

WE HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been sent via U.S. Mail and facsimile to the following addressees this 26 day of January, 2009.

Robert D. Critton, Jr, Esq.
Burman, Critton, Luttier & Coleman
515 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
561/842-2820 Phone
561/515-3148 Fax
rcrit@bclclaw.com
Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein

Jack Alan Goldberger, Esq.
Atterbury Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.
250 Australian Avenue South
Suite 1400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401-5012
561-659-8300
Fax: 561-835-8691
jagesq@bellsouth.net
Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein

Michael R. Tein, Esq.
Lewis Tein, P.L.
3059 Grand Avenue, Suite 340
Coconut Grove, FL 33133
305-442-1101
Fax: 305 442 6744
Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein
tein@lewistein.com

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael R. Tein", is written over a horizontal line.