

EXHIBIT 14

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 GOVERNMENT OF THE :
4 UNITED STATES VIRGIN :
5 ISLANDS, : CASE NO.
6 Plaintiff, : 1:22-CV-10904
7 : -JSR
8 v. :
9

10 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, :
11 N.A., :
12

13 Defendant/Third Party :
14 Plaintiff. :
15

16 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, :
17 N.A., :
18

19 Third Party Plaintiff, :
20

21 v. :
22

23 JAMES EDWARD STALEY, :
24

25 Third Party Defendant. :
26

27 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
28

29 - - -
30 May 3, 2023
31 - - -
32

33 Videotaped deposition
34 of WILLIAM D. LANGFORD, taken pursuant to
35 notice, was held at the law offices of
36 Boies Schiller Flexner LLP, 55 Hudson
37 Yards, New York, New York, and remotely,
38 beginning at 9:37 a.m., on the above
39 date, before Michelle L. Gray, a
40 Registered Professional Reporter,
41 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certified
42 Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public.
43

44 GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
45 877.370.3377 ph| 917.591.5672
46 deps@golkow.com
47

1 So this one is "AML Global
2 Best Practices" -- or maybe that's -- I'm
3 sorry. "AML Laws and Regulations & The
4 JPMC Global Anti-Money Laundering
5 Program."

6 (Document marked for
7 identification as Exhibit
8 Langford-5.)

9 BY MS. SINGER:

10 Q. So this is going to be
11 Exhibit 5. Fortunately, this one has
12 Bates numbers, so it's going to be easier
13 for everybody to follow along.

14 Take your time. I just want
15 to introduce it.

16 So this is a presentation
17 that also has your name on it, correct?

18 A Yes it does have my name

19 Q. And as well as the JPMorgan
20 Chase logo at the bottom left?

21 A Yes

22 Q. And this one is dated
23 June 25 2009 correct?

1 Q. Okay. And is this a
2 presentation that you recall?

3 A. I don't recall it
4 specifically, but I'm sure it is.

5 Q. Okay. Now, who is Barry
6 Koch?

7 A. Barry Koch was our lawyer,
8 FinCEN lawyer at the time.

9 Q. Okay. Okay. So we're just
10 going to turn to Slide 4, which is Bates
11 number -- it has a number on it. So this
12 is "AML Basics - Other Key Terms."

13 Do you see the bullet point
14 "Willful Blindness"?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. "Individuals and companies
17 can be found guilty of criminal money
18 laundering if they 'turn a blind eye' to
19 money laundering by others (including
20 failing to report potentially suspicious
21 activity) or if they consciously avoid
22 the truth."

23 Have I read that correctly?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. Why did you include willful
2 blindness in this presentation?

3 A. It's part of the Bank
4 Secrecy Act.

5 Q. It's part of the Bank --

6 A. It's part of the Bank
7 Secrecy Act, yeah.

8 Q. Okay. And was there a
9 reason that you wanted to convey this
10 concept to people who might be listening
11 to this presentation?

12 A. It's part of the
13 requirements. Can't be wilfully blind.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. And, again, I don't remember
16 this, but I would have wanted to include
17 it generally if I'm talking about the
18 background.

19 Q. Okay. All right. And let's
20 turn to Bates number 765. When I say
21 Bates number, that's the stamped number
22 in the bottom right, the one that ends
23 765.

24 It's titled "Corporate

1 [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED]

3 [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED]

6 BY MS. SINGER:

7 Q. Okay. And absent an
8 explanation, a legitimate explanation --
9 I'm sorry, an explanation of the
10 legitimate use of that cash, what would
11 your opinion be about the nature of that
12 activity?

13 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: It would be
15 pure speculation without all the
16 facts and circumstances. If there
17 was no explanation, you know, I
18 would consider reporting it.

19 BY MS. SINGER:

20 Q. I want to show you an
21 exhibit that we put together with Jeffrey
22 Epstein's cash transactions. And this is
23 drawn from CTRs, currency transaction
24 reports, produced to us in discovery.

1 Now, I take it you're
2 familiar with the term "currency
3 transaction report" or "CTR"?

4 A. Oh, yes.

5 Q. What is it?

6 A. Any cash transaction in or
7 out, cash, cash equivalent to \$10,000 and
8 up has to be reported as a transaction
9 that goes into the database maintained, I
10 think, by the IRS but available to FinCEN
11 and law enforcement.

12 Q. Okay. And that transaction
13 report is called a currency transaction
14 report, or CTR, correct?

15 A. Yes. Yep.

16 Q. Okay. So this is
17 Exhibit 17. Cash Transactions From CTRs.

18 (Document marked for
19 identification as Exhibit
20 Langford-17.)

21 BY MS. SINGER:

22 Q. And, again, this is a
23 document we prepared.

24 You can see that, based on

1 this, Jeffrey Epstein moved \$840,000 in
2 cash from his account, the title is in
3 the -- the total is in the top row for
4 the year. \$840,000 in 2004.

5 Is that what this document
6 reflects?

7 A. That's what it says.

8 MR. GAIL: Objection.

9 BY MS. SINGER:

10 Q. And in 2005, \$904,000 and a
11 little bit, correct?

12 A. That's what it says. I
13 didn't add up the numbers.

14 Q. Okay. And in 2006 it was
15 \$938,265, as represented here?

16 A. As represented, yep.

17 Q. Okay. So that adds up,
18 roughly, and I won't do math either, but
19 to roughly \$2.7 million, correct?

20 A. I -- without a calculator, I
21 apologize. Math major, but I don't do
22 that well.

23 Q. That's why we're all
24 lawyers.

1 Do you believe that this
2 information on cash transaction from
3 Jeffrey Epstein's reports would have been
4 relevant and useful to law enforcement
5 investigating Jeffrey Epstein's conduct
6 between 2004 and 2006?

7 MR. GAIL: Objection.

16 Again, our focus on cash is
17 typically when somebody tries to
18 avoid the currency transaction
19 report requirement, so it then
20 becomes harder to track.

21 BY MS. SINGER:

22 Q. Now, but filing a CTR
23 doesn't relieve a bank of the
24 responsibility to file a suspicious

1 activity report, correct?

2 A. If the SAR is required, a
3 SAR is required, independent of a CTR.

4 Q. Okay. And the SAR report,
5 as we've discussed, has significance
6 independent of any other information that
7 may be conveyed to law enforcement,
8 correct?

9 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.

10 MR. GAIL: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I mean, it's
12 different, right. It conveys the
13 suspicion, whereas, a CTR does not
14 convey suspicion. It's a raw fact
15 of a transaction.

16 BY MS. SINGER:

17 Q. And a SAR would also put
18 that information in the context of the
19 bank's assessment and any other facts or
20 information it may have, correct?

21 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: To the extent
23 that's relevant, yes.

24 BY MS. SINGER:

1 pursuant to our procedures and
2 standards, yes.

3 BY MS. SINGER:

4 Q. All right. The next e-mail
5 is JPM-SDNYLIT-00152833, and we'll mark
6 this as Exhibit 40.

7 (Document marked for
8 identification as Exhibit
9 Langford-40.)

10 BY MS. STINGER:

11 Q. And this is an e-mail
12 between you and Steven Cutler dated
13 January 21, 2011, correct?

14 A. Yes, it is.

15 Q. Okay. And it provides you
16 with a telephone number for Ken Starr,
17 correct?

18 A. It appears that way, yes.

19 Q. And this meeting was a week
20 after your meeting with Jes Staley and
21 Catherine Keating, correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And were you talking to Ken
24 Starr pursuant to Jes Staley's request

1 that you talk to Jeffrey Epstein's
2 lawyer?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Did you agree with the
5 suggestion that talking to Ken Starr
6 would be helpful to you?

7 MR. GAIL: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I was happy to
9 accommodate it, but I didn't think
10 it was going to change my mind.

11 BY MS. SINGER:

12 Q. All right. So let's turn to
13 Estate_005175, which we'll mark as
14 Exhibit 41.

15 (Document marked for
16 identification as Exhibit
17 Langford-41.)

18 BY MS. SINGER:

19 Q. And this is a February 17,
20 2011, e-mail chain between Kenneth Starr
21 and Jeffrey Epstein. And it reports,
22 "Just off the phone. Went well. Almost
23 half an hour" -- I'm sorry. "Almost a
24 half hour. John Schwartz/William

1 Q. And do you know why Steve
2 Cutler didn't join that call?

3 A. I don't recall, no.

4 Q. So it sounds like what Ken
5 Starr was representing was that Epstein
6 had acted inappropriately but not
7 criminally. Is that consistent with your
8 recollection of the conversation?

9 A. I think so. Yeah, it's been
10 a while. But it was words to that
11 effect.

12 Q. And was it a conversation of
13 about half an hour?

14 A. I don't recall the length.

15 Q. Did you ask Ken Starr any
16 questions, or did John Schwartz ask Ken
17 Starr any questions?

18 A. After we initiated the call
19 and introduced, I don't recall asking
20 questions. I may have or John may have,
21 but it was mostly listening to Ken Starr.

22 Q. And did Ken Starr say
23 anything about a plea being overturned
24 or -- yes?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And what did he say?

3 A. He said they were working to
4 have the plea deal thrown out.

5 Q. Do you recall anything else
6 about the conversation with you, John
7 Schwartz, and Ken Starr?

8 A. No. It's -- was sort of
9 about what I expected for an attorney
10 representing Epstein.

11 Q. And what do you mean by
12 that?

13 A. I mean he was representing
14 Epstein. Trying to suggest, you know,
15 again, that was not a crime.
16 Inappropriate, as he says here. But
17 trying to convince us that it wasn't a
18 crime.

19 Q. Okay. And that's what you
20 would have expected from Epstein's
21 criminal defense attorney, correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And do you recall this [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED] story?

1 A. I do not.

2 Q. I'm sure it was a good one.

3 Did you -- did you get any
4 information from Ken Starr about why
5 Jeffrey Epstein was taking so much cash
6 from his accounts at JPMorgan?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Did you get any information
9 from Ken Starr about Epstein's wire
10 transfers to Eastern European women?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did you get any information
13 from Ken Starr about Jeffrey Epstein's
14 payment to [REDACTED]?

15 A. No.

16 Q. In your professional
17 experience, is it customary to assess a
18 banking client by talking to that banking
19 client's criminal defense attorney?

20 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry,
22 your microphone.

23 MR. KRAUSE: Sorry. Hold
24 on.

7 BY MS. SINGER:

12 A. I don't know.

13 Q. Do you know whether the bank
14 ever met with [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED], who are the bank's customers
16 through Jeffrey Epstein?

17 A. I don't know that.

18 Q. Did you ever see that the
19 bank had any of those conversations?

20 A. I have not seen any
21 indication that they have.

22 Q. All right. Let's turn to
23 TPM-SDNYLT-0012641.

24 MS. STINGER: And we're going

1 the lawyers. We talked to the
2 lawyers. I continued to press for
3 exit, and there was no exit.
4 That's what I know.

8 BY MS. SINGER:

9 Q. And I take it, by the way,
10 the conversation with Ken Starr didn't
11 change your view about exiting -- exiting
12 Jeffrey Epstein, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. And was there anybody -- did
15 anybody ever try to go around Jes Staley
16 to terminate Jeffrey Epstein as a client
17 of the bank?

18 MR. KRAUSE: Objection.

19 BY MS. SINGER:

20 Q. To your knowledge,
21 Mr. Langford.

22 A. Yeah, I don't know. Going
23 around, I'm not sure how that would work.
24 But I'm not aware of anybody trying to

1 A. I don't know. I don't know
2 if he was already head of Baylor or not.

3 Q. The president at -- at some
4 point he becomes president --

5 A. I don't know if it was at
6 that point or not.

7 Q. Before he became president
8 of Baylor, am I correct that Mr. Starr
9 also served as the solicitor general of
10 the United States?

11 A. I don't know for sure.

12 Q. Do you know whether he
13 served as a senate-confirmed judge on the
14 United States Court of Appeals?

15 A. No, I don't know that.

16 Q. Okay. You testified that
17 you didn't discuss with Starr cash from
18 Epstein's accounts and wire transfers.
19 Do you recall that testimony?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Why not?

22 A. We were meeting with Ken
23 Starr at the request of Jes, to have him
24 explain to us why it was that he thought

1 that Epstein's plea agreement would be
2 tossed out.

3 Q. Couple more questions and
4 we'll be done.

5 On human trafficking, did
6 Jeffrey Epstein's account activity fit
7 within any of the typology developed as
8 part of the human trafficking project
9 that you helped launch?

10 A. No. We discussed earlier,
11 they didn't. We were focused on the
12 business of trafficking versus
13 consumer -- the consumer of the
14 trafficked victim.

15 Q. At any time while you were
16 in your roles at JPMorgan, did you
17 believe that Epstein was using his
18 JPMorgan accounts to run a human
19 trafficking scheme?

20 MS. SINGER: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: No.

22 BY MR. GAIL:

23 Q. If you had believed at any
24 time that Epstein was running a human